

## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Requestor Name and Address:	MFDR Tracking#: M4-05-2592-01			
THE SPINE HOSPITAL OF SOUTH TEXAS 18600 N HARDY OAK BLVD SAN ANTONIO TX 78258	DWC Claim #:			
	Injured Employee:			
Respondent Name and Box #:	Date of Injury:			
CITY OF VICTORIA Box #: 19	Employer Name:			
	Insurance Carrier #:			

#### PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "On the EOB provided by the Carrier, a blanket application of code 'M' does not apply to billed amounts that have an established 'MAR' per the TWCC Fee Guidelines. The Commission's instructions state that payment exception code 'F' is to be 'used when the IC is reducing payment from the billed amount in accordance with the appropriate TWCC fee guidelines MAR...NOT used for reductions based on lack of documentation or for charges for which TWCC has not established an MAR."

Amount in Dispute: \$10,120.53

# PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "EGIG reimbursed \$2,236.00 of the \$17,562.18 charges for this outpatient visit. The reimbursement was based upon the fair and reasonable standard set by the TWCC for like or similar services of a surgical procedure performed in an inpatient hospital setting. The surgical procedure performed in an inpatient hospital setting. The surgical procedure was paid for an equivalent two day inpatient stay. The claimant had a partial day admission to an outpatient facility requiring less care and facility time, yet was paid what a hospital would receive for a two day inpatient surgical procedure stay. If this surgical procedure were done in an ASC after 09/01/04, the reimbursement would be \$914.28. The requestor was paid 2.4 times the fair and reasonable rate for the same surgery don in an ASC."

#### PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	<b>Amount Due</b>
08/16/2004	M	Outpatient Surgical Services	\$10,120.53	\$0.00
			Total Due:	\$0.00

### PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on December 1, 2004. Pursuant to Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor on December 10, 2004 to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule.

- 1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason code:
  - M-Included in total reimbursement for procedure(s).
- 2. This dispute relates to outpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."

- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 4. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(ii), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "the requestor's reasoning for why the disputed fees should be paid." Review of the submitted documentation finds no documentation of the requestor's reasoning for why the disputed fees should be paid. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(ii).
- 5. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iv), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not state how the submitted documentation supports the requestor's position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iv).
- 6. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor's position statement states that "On the EOB provided by the Carrier, a blanket application of code 'M' does not apply to billed amounts that have an established 'MAR' per the TWCC Fee Guidelines. The Commission's instructions state that payment exception code 'F' is to be 'used when the IC is reducing payment from the billed amount in accordance with the appropriate TWCC fee guidelines MAR...NOT used for reductions based on lack of documentation or for charges for which TWCC has not established an MAR."
  - The requestor has not articulated a methodology under which fair and reasonable reimbursement should be calculated.
  - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of \$10,120.53 would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement.
  - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.
  - The requestor did not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.
  - The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a hospital's billed charges, or a percentage of billed charges, does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in the Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline adoption preamble which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 (July 4, 1997) that:
    - "A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources."

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

7. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(C), and §133.307(g)(3)(D). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

PART VI. GENERAL PATIMENT POLICIES/REI ERENGES				
Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), §413.031 and §413.032 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1 Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G	311			
PART VII: DIVISION DECISION				
Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.				
DECISION:				
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	10/15/2010 Date		
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Manager	10/15/2010 Date		

#### PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

DADT VI- GENERAL DAVMENT DOLLCIES/DEEEDENCES

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 TAC §148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code §413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code §413.031.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.